ADHERENT PLACENTA PRAEVIA

by

G. PALANICHAMY,* M.D., (Obst. & Gynec.)

uterine wall results from lack of deci- this report. During the period of study, dual response at the site of implantation. there were 12,032 viable deliveries; 296 Danforth and Chapman (1949) showed cases of placenta praevia and 138 cases of that the endometrium of the lower uterine segment has the characteristics of only vered in our institution and others were the basilar portion of the corporeal endometrium, which is least likely to supply proper vascularity and good decidua for nidation. Therefore, a placenta located in the lower uterine segment has got a greater tendency for accretion. Kistner et al, (1952) first presented their largest series of 9 cases of placenta praevia accreta. Since then a large number of case reports have appeared in the literature with or without histological confirmation of the diagnosis.

Material and Methods

Nine cases of adherent placenta praevia were managed in Tirunelveli Medical College Hospital, Tirunelveli, over a period of 62 years from January 1968 to

Abnormal adherence of placenta to June 1974. These cases form the basis of adherent placenta. Of them 30 were deliadmitted with retained placenta after delivery elsewhere.

Results

The incidence of adherent placenta praevia is shown in Table I. The frequency of adherent placenta in placenta praevia is shown in Table II. The frequency of placenta praevia in adherent placenta is shown in Table III. The salient features of 9 cases of adherent placenta praevia are given in Table IV. The average age was 29 years and the mean parity was 2.3. There were 3 primigravidae (33.3%) and one grand-multipara. Previous history of manual removal of the placenta was elicited in 4 cases (44.4%). One patient had previous

	TA	BI	E	I	
-	100			and the set	

Year	Authors	Incidence	Incidence
		(Per cent)	(Per million)
1961	Koren et al	0.0012	12
1963	Rubenstone and Lash	0.0179	179
1963	Di Masi et al	0.0245	245
1976	Present study	0.0748	748

*Assistant Professor, Department of Obstet. & Gymec. Tirunelveli Medical College and Hospital, Tirunelveli (Tamil Nadu). Received for publication on 20-9-74.

lower segment caesarean section. In the remaining 4 cases, there was no etiological factor and in them, implantation of the placenta in the lower

JOURNAL OF OBSTETRICS AND GYNAECOLOGY OF INDIA

TABLE II

Incidence of Adherent Placenta in Placenta Praevia

Year	Authors	Overall incidence of adherent placenta	Incidence of adherent placenta in placenta praevia	Frequency
1963	Di Masi et al	0.0167	5.0%	+ 47 times
1968	Topete et al	0.0347	5.8%	+171 times
1976	Present series	0.2527	2.7%	+ 11 times

TABLE III

Incidence of Placenta Praevia in Adherent

Year	Authors	Incidence (percent)
1937	Irving and Hertig	15.0
1954	Stone, et al	100.0
1957	Rotton and Friedman	18.0
1959	Miller	20.0
1963	Rubenstone and Lash	62.5
1968	Topete et al	60.8
1976	Present series	30.0

uterine segment per sé may be the cause for adherence. All patients were admitted with antepartum haemorrhage. Seven patients (77.8%) were managed conserva-(LSCS-3, classical caesarean tively section-2, vaginal delivery-2) by piecemeal removal of the placenta. Caesarean hysterectomy was done in two. Two mothers (22.2%) were lost. In one of them, the placenta had been removed piecemeal and in the other patient, initial attempts to separate the placenta during caesarean section resulted in massive haemorrhage. All patients had moderate to severe postpartum haemorrhagic shock and received 350 ml. to 1750 ml. of compatible blood transfusion. Heavy blood loss was noticed in those who were delivered by lower segment caesarean section. In one patient (case 7), adherent placenta praevia was associated with Couvelaire

uterus and coagulation failure. Seven babies (77.8%) were premature and 5 were lost (55.5%) as a result of prematurity and asphyxia.

Discussion

Basically, accretion of the placenta is a result of deficient or absent decidua and it is not related to increased invasiveness of the trophoblast. Since the endometrium of the lower uterine segment is lined only by basilar portion of the corporeal endometrium, as demonstrated by Danforth and Chapman in 1949, implantation of the placenta in the lower uterine segment per sé predisposes to placenta accreta. Most obstetricians consider placenta accreta as a natural concomitant of placenta praevia.

Until June 1974, a total of only 26 cases of placenta accreta have been reported in the Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology of India. There is no reference to placenta praevia accreta in any of these case reports. The incidence of adherent placenta praevia in our series is 62 times greater than that of Koren, et al, (1961) and about 3 times higher than that of Di masi, et al, (1963). The etiologic significance of implantation of the placenta in the lower uterine segment is well documented by the reports of Di Masi, et al, (1963) and Topete et al, (1968) as shown in Tables II and III. Our figures also

	Report
	Cases
VBLE IV	of Nine
TA	Features c
	Salient

Age Case No.Age ArsPara Type of FilologyType of PracentaType of DeliveryUll stage Gammer ManagementQuantity Gammer Gammer ManagementTradec Gammir Gammer Gammer Gammer GammerUll stage Gammer G	Age base Para Type of Prateria Instance of Protecia Instance Protecia Instance of Protecia Instance Protecia Instance Protia					Sali	ent Features	Salient Features of Nine Cases Reported	orted			
Id500(6)Z51Revious MRPType IIARM—PitocinRemoved30D.B.9631/1421 \mathbf{r} <td< th=""><th></th><th>No.</th><th>Case No.</th><th>Age yrs.</th><th>Para</th><th></th><th>Type of Placenta Praevia</th><th>Type of Delivery</th><th>III stage Management</th><th>Quantity of Blood Given (M1</th><th></th><th>Maternal Prognosis</th></td<>		No.	Case No.	Age yrs.	Para		Type of Placenta Praevia	Type of Delivery	III stage Management	Quantity of Blood Given (M1		Maternal Prognosis
9651/14210Nill $-do^{-}$ $APM-Outlet-do^{-}T00Alive2.75 Kg.14319/09302Pervious MRPType IVClassical C.S.-do^{-}350NND14319/09302Pervious MRPType IV-do^{-}-do^{-}350NND14319/09302Pervious MRPType IV-do^{-}-do^{-}15530801358/09302NillType IV-do^{-}-do^{-}150NND1.75 Kg.1358/09302NillType IV-do^{-}-do^{-}150NND1358/09302NillType IV-do^{-}-do^{-}1751.75 Kg.1353/13100NillType IV-do^{-}-do^{-}1751.5 Kg.1353/13310NillType IV-do^{-}-do^{-}1002.5 Kg.1353/13310NillType IV-do^{-}-do^{-}-do^{-}2.5 Kg.14457/13354-do^{-}-do^{-}-do^{-}-do^{-}-do^{-}2.5 Kg.1355/12354-do^{-}-do^{-}-do^{-}-do^{-}-do^{-}-do^{-}1355/12354-do^{-}-do^{-}-do^{-}-do^{-}-do^{-}-do^{-}1355/12354-do^{-}-do^{-}-do^{-}$		-	15510/69	22	H	Previous MRP	Type II posterior	ARM—Pitocin assisted breech	Removed piecemeal	350		Survived
14319/60302Previous MRPType IVClassical C.Sdo-350NDD1335/71359 $-do$ $-do$ $-do$ 1050 1.75 Kg.1355/71302NilType II $L.S.C.S.$ $-do$ 1050 1.75 Kg.1353/73100NilType II $L.S.C.S.$ $-do$ 1050 11.5 Kg.1353/73100NilType II $L.S.C.S.$ $-do$ 1050 11.5 Kg.1353/73100NilType IV $-do$ $-do$ 1050 2.5 Kg.14463/72360NilType IV* $-do$ $-do$ 700 2.5 Kg.14463/73354Ype IV* $-do$ $-do$ $-do$ 2.5 Kg.14463/7431357 $Toe NHP$ $Toe NHP$ $Toe NHP$ 2.5 Kg.1466/743131Type IV* $-do$ $-do$ 700 2.5 Kg.1066/74313Previous MHPType IV $Toe NHP$ $Toe NHP$ $Toe NHP$ $Toe NHP$ $Toe NHP$ 1066/74313Previous MHPType IV* $Toe NHP$ $Toe NHP$ $Toe NHP$ $Toe NHP$ $Toe NHP$ $Toe NHP$ 1066/74313Previous MHPType IV $Toe NHP$ $Toe NHP$ $Toe NHP$ $Toe NHP$ $Toe NHP$ $Toe NHP1066/74313Previous MHPToe NHPToe NHPToe NHPToe NHPToe NHP$		61	9631/74	21	•	TIN	-op-	ARM-Outlet Forceps	-do-	200	Alive 2.75 Kg.	Survived
11355/11 35 9 -do- -do- -do- 1050 NND 13538/69 30 2 Nil Type II L.S.C.S. -do- 175 Kg. 13533/73 10 0 Nil Type II L.S.C.S. -do- 175 Kg. 13533/73 10 0 Nil Type IV -do- -do- 1700 2.55 Kg. 1465/72 36 0 Nil Type IV -do- -do- 700 2.55 Kg. 1465/72 35 4 Previous Type IV* -do- -do- 2.6 Kg. 16552/72 35 4 Previous Type IV cde- -do- 2.5 Kg. 10664/74 31 3 Previous MRP Type IV ede- 2.5 Kg. 10664/74 31 3 Previous MRP Type IV ede- 2.5 Kg. 10664/74 31 3 Previous MRP Type IV ede- 100 Nive		63	14819/69	30	61	Previous MRP	Type IV .		-do-	350	NND 2.0 Kg.	Survived
18588/69302NilType IIL.S.C.Sdo-1750Alive13833/73100NilType IV-dodo-700NND13833/73100NilType IV-dodo-7002.5 Kg.14463/72360NilType IV*-dodo-700D.B.14463/72354PreviousType IV*-dodo-700D.B.16664/74313Previous MRPType IVCaesarean350Alive10664/74313Previous MRPType IVCaesarean700Alive10664/74313Previous MRPType IVCaesarean550Kg.		4	11365/71	35	6	-do-	-op-	-do.	-do-	. 1050	NND 1.75 Kg.	Died***
13833/73 10 0 Nil Type IV -do- -do- 700 NND 14463/72 36 0 Nil Type IV** -do- -do- 700 D.B. 14463/72 36 0 Nil Type IV** -do- -do- 700 D.B. 14463/72 35 4 Previous Type IV** -do- -do- 700 D.B. 16352/72 35 4 Previous Type IV kesarean Caesarean 350 Alive 10664/74 31 3 Previous MRP Type IV Caesarean 700 Alive 10664/74 31 3 Previous MRP Type IV Caesarean 700 Alive 30 Kg. Mysterectomy*** 30 Kgesarean 700 Alive		10	1,8588/69	30	63	TIN	Type II Anterior	L.S.C.S.	-op-	1750	Alive 1.5 Kg.	Survived
14463/72360NilType IV**-dodo-700D.B.16352/72354PreviousType IVCaesarean550Alive10664/74313700 streetomy700Alive10664/74313Previous MRPType IVCaesarean700Alive10664/74313Previous MRPType IVCaesarean700Alive10664/74313Previous MRPType IVCaesarean700Alive		···	13833/73	10	0	TEN	Type IV	-do-	-do-	700	NND 2.25 Kg.	Survived
16352/72354PreviousType IVCaesarean350Alive10664/74313Previous MRPType IVCaesarean350Alive10664/74313Previous MRPType IVCaesarean30Alive3.0 Kg.		~	14463/72	36	0	TIN	Type IV**	-do-	-do-	700		Survived
10664/74 31 3 Previous MRP Type IV Caesarean Caesarean 700 Alive hysterectomy hysterectomy ^{***} 3.0 Kg.		00	16352/72	22	4	Previous L.S.C.S.	Type IV		Caesarean hysterectomy	350	Alive 2.5 Kg.	Survived
	 Patient had delayed PPH on 2nd day postoperatively and died of exsanguination. ** This case was associated with Couvelaire uterus and coagulation failure. *** In this case initial attempts to remove the placenta provoked massive haemorrhage and natient died of exsanguination a 	6	10664/74	31	63	Previous MRP	Type IV	my	Caesarean hysterectomy***		Alive 3.0 Kg.	Died*

ADHERENT PLACENTA PRAEVIA

after operation.

favourably agree with these reports. Other important etiologic factors include previous manual removal, previous curettage and previous caesarean section.

Kistner, et al, (1952) stressed that the postpartum haemorrhage which so frequently occurs with placenta praevia should not always be ascribed to failure of contractility of the lower segment, but may be the result of accretic tendency of the abnormally situated placenta. Koren, et al, (1961) and Cherry, et al, (1961) demonstrated hypofibrinogenaemia and afibrinogenaemia in cases of placenta praevia accreta, and coagulation defects may therefore account for postpartum haemorrhage in some cases. In this report, one patient showed coagulation failure in association with Couvelair uterus. A careful review of the literature showed only one earlier report (Kalstone, 1969) in which Couvelaire uterus was associated with placenta praevia. In Kalstone's case, the fibrinogen level was normal and the Couvelaire uterus was the result of premature separation of the placenta implanted in the lower segment. But in our case, the placenta was adherent to the posterior wall of the lower segment and showed no evidence of separation.

The management of adherent placenta in general, and adherent placenta praevia in particular presents a dilemma. Israel, et al, (1955), Abitbol, et al, (1958), Di Masi, et al, (1963) and Rubenstone and Lash 1963) have emphasised that total, rather than subtotal, hysterectomy should be performed in cases of placenta praevia accreta to avoid catastrophic bleeding from the portion of the lower uterine segment which is left behind in subtotal hysterectomy. This view has been upheld in subsequent reports. Rubenstone and Lash stated that this seemingly more radical management of placenta praevia accreta resulted in a much lower, maternal mortality rate and conversely, conservative management resulted in a higher maternal mortality rate.

An analysis of the cases reported in literature undoubtedly indicate that immediate total hysterectomy is the ideal treatment. Our observations also lend support to this view. Of the two maternal deaths, one was directly related to removal of placenta in bits and in the other patient, enough damage was caused by initial attempts to separate the placenta before hysterectomy was decided.

Summary and Conclusions

Nine cases of adherent placenta praevia have been presented. One case was associated with Couvelaire uterusand coagulation failure. Immediate total hysterectomy is considered as the ideal treatment for adherent placenta praevia.

Acknowledgement

I sincerely thank Dr. B. Subbiah, M.D., D.C.H., Superintendent, Tirunelveli Medical College Hospital, Tirunelveli, and Dr. Mrs. S. Ananthalakshmi, M.D., D.G.O., Reader and Head of Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, for their kind permission to report hospital records.

References

- Abitbol, M. M., Daichman, I., and Mackles, A.: Obst. & Gynec. 12: 209, 1958.
- Cherry, S. H., Adamsons, K. and Phillips, L. L.: Surg. Gynec. & Obst. 112: 551, 1961.
- Danforth, D. N., and Chapman, J. C. F.: Science. 109: 383, 1949. Dwfi.
- Di Masi, F. T., McGoldrick, D. M. and Grogan, R. H.: Amer. J. Obst. & Gynec. 87: 190, 1963.
- Irving, F. C. and Hertig, A. T.: Surg. Gynec. & Obst. 64: 178, 1937.
- Israel, S. L., Siegal, A., and Rubenstone, A: Obstet. Gynec. 5: 27, 1955.

ADHERENT PLACENTA PRAEVIA

and the second

1

- Kalstone, C. E.: Amer. J. Obst. & Gynec. 105: 638, 1969.
- Kistner, R. W., Hertig, A. T. and Reid, D. R.: Surg. Gynec. & Obst. 94: 141. 1952.
- 9. Koren, Z., Zuckerman, H., and Brzezinski, A.: Obst. & Gynec. 18: 138, 1961.
- Millar, W. G.: J. Obst. & Gynec. Brit. Emp. 66: 353, 1959.
- 11. Rotton, W. N. and Friedman, E. A.: Obst. & Gynec. 5: 580, 1957.
- Rubenstone, A. and Lash, S. R.: Amer. J. Obst. & Gynec. 87: 198, 1963.
- Stone, M., Donenfeld, A. and Tanz, A.: Amer. J. Obst. & Gynec. 68: 925, 1954.
 Topete, M. M., Azuela, J. C., Lopez, S. V. and Hernandez, J. E.: Obst. & Gynec. 32: 397, 1968.

.